Abstract

Objective: The aim of the study was to compare alternatives to human papillomavirus test, such as Papanicolaou (PAP) smear and colposcopy with histopathology in symptomatic women and those with suspicious-looking cervix. Materials and Methods: This prospective, 7-month study was conducted on 208 female patients aged ≥18 years with suspicious-looking cervix, complaining of vaginal discharge and menstrual bleeding. All patients were subjected to PAP smear, colposcopy, and colposcopy-directed biopsy. Sensitivity, specificity, and diagnostic accuracy were calculated to assess the performance of diagnostic tests. Data were analyzed using Fisher’s exact tests using software R version 3.6.0. Results: Majority (166) of the patients were in the age group of 31–50 years (79.8%) and the mean age was 39.8 ± 7.9 years. Most of them had abdominal pain (65; 31.2%), white discharge (60; 28.8%), and early sexual exposure at 16–19 years (120; 57.6%) of age. PAP smear cytology revealed high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion and low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LSIL) in 4.3% and 5.8% women, respectively. Per speculum evaluation revealed cervical abnormalities in 85.6% of women. On colposcopy, low-grade infection and suspicious malignancy were noted in 28.8% and 14.4% women, respectively. The sensitivity and specificity of PAP test were 19.5% and 83.3%, respectively, whereas the corresponding values for colposcopy were 90.2% and 72.8%, respectively. Conclusions: PAP smear cytology demonstrated very poor sensitivity compared to colposcopy, especially for LSILs.
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Introduction

Cervical cancer, the third most common cancer globally, is preventable in majority of the cases. With the introduction of screening techniques in the mid-20th century in developed countries, its incidence has been reduced by >74%.[1] However, cervical cancer is still common in developing countries with an annual death rate of 8.2%. In India, the mortality rate due to cervical cancer is as high as 9%.[1,2] Early detection of the disease at the premalignant stage can increase its prevention and cure. Increased awareness among women regarding reproductive health and screening techniques has reduced the disease burden globally.[3]

Human papillomavirus (HPV), a major etiological factor of cervical cancer, transmits sexually and the risk of transmission increases with early sexual exposure and multiple partners.[3,4] Colposcopy is a subjective modality which increases the diagnostic accuracy for subjects presenting with symptoms and altered cervical cytology. Colposcopy has around 60% sensitivity in cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN),[5] but its sensitivity is increased to around 90% when combined with cervical cytology.[5] On the contrary, Papanicolaou (PAP) and HPV testing have low sensitivity. Subjecting all women with high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL) or low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LSIL) to colposcopy may increase the diagnostic sensitivity.[3,5] In addition, the widespread use of HPV testing is often limited by the high cost. Therefore, combining cytology and colposcopy may be a successful screening strategy.[6]

Very few studies have correlated the findings of PAP smear and colposcopy,[4] and there is a dearth of studies on the South Indian population with respect to the correlation of PAP smear
and colposcopy with histopathology which is considered the gold standard. Considering these factors, this study aimed at comparing PAP smear and colposcopy with histopathology in symptomatic women and those with suspicious-looking cervix in a hospital-based setting.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This prospective study was carried out for 7 months (January 2019–December 2019) in the Obstetrics and Gynecology Department of a Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences (Deemed-to-be) University in Karad, Maharashtra, India, after approval (KIMS/IEC-307/015/03/06/2019) from the institutional ethics committee.

By convenient sampling technique, 208 female patients aged ≥18 years with the chief complaints of vaginal discharge and menstrual (or post coital) bleeding were recruited after obtaining written informed consent from all of them. The cervix considered as suspicious when it has one or more of the following: white or red patches, polyps, nodules, normal cervix with retention cyst, hypertrophy cervix, ulcer, purulent or persistent discharge, and bleeding on touch. All patients were subjected to PAP smear and colposcopy, and the final diagnosis of premalignant cervical lesion was made on histopathology. Women with vaginal bleeding, acute infection, and cervical carcinoma (invasive); pregnant women; premenarchal girls; and postmenopausal women were excluded from the study.

Data regarding sociodemographics, clinical presentations, obstetric and gynecological history, and marital status were recorded in a pre-structured pro forma. Household income was categorized into lower class (<2000 rupees), middle class (2000–5000 rupees), and upper class (>5000 rupees). All patients underwent cervical examination. Patients with genital infections were prescribed vaginal pessaries and oral antibiotics and asked to come back after a week. All asymptomatic women treated for infections were subjected to PAP smear using Ayre’s spatula and endocervical cytobrush. All slides were sent to the cytology Department and findings interpreted based on the Bethesda system.[7] All the slides were evaluated by the same pathologist to maintain consistency in interpretation and reporting. Later, all patients were subjected to colposcopy. Colposcopic assessment included assessing the abnormal areas after application of acetic acid followed by Lugol’s iodine and grading based on modified Reid’s colposcopic index (RCI).[7] Colposcopy-directed punch biopsies were taken from suspected lesions and sent for histopathological analysis.

Statistical analysis was done using software R version 3.6.0 (USA). Normality of the data was determined using the Shapiro–Wilk test. Continuous variables with normal distribution were presented as mean ± standard deviation. Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages and compared using Fisher’s exact test. Sensitivity, specificity, and diagnostic accuracy were determined to assess the performance of the diagnostic tests. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant at a 95% confidence interval.

RESULTS

Out of 208 patients, majority (166) were in the age group of 31–50 years (79.8%). The mean age of all patients was 39.8 ± 7.9 years. Economically, 150 (50.5%) belonged to the lower class followed by 98 (47.1) from the middle class and 5 (2.4%) from the upper class. One hundred and seventy-seven (85.1%) women were multiparous (>2 children), 28 (13.5%) were primiparous, and 3 (1.4%) were nulliparous. The mean parity of all patients was 2.3 ± 1.2. Table 1 depicts the symptoms of all patients at the time of presentation and possible risk factors. Majority of the women had abdominal pain (65; 31.2%) and white discharge (60; 28.8%). Early sexual exposure at 16–19 years (120; 57.6%) of age was the major risk factor. Most women (85.6%) had cervical abnormalities; 170 (81.7%) had cervical polyps; and 8 (3.8%) had suspicious-looking cervix.

Table 2 shows sensitivity, specificity, and diagnostic accuracy of PAP smear and colposcopy in comparison to histopathology and colposcopy, respectively. Colposcopy demonstrated high sensitivity (90.2%) in comparison to histopathology. Table 3 depicts the comparison of the RCI score with histopathological findings; RCI >6 indicated a significant association with the abnormal findings of histopathology \((P < 0.001; \text{Chi-square})\).
### Table 3: Comparison of Reid’s colposcopic index score with histopathological findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RCI</th>
<th>Frequency (n)</th>
<th>No biopsy (n)</th>
<th>Histopathology (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chronic cervicitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CIN: Cervical intraepithelial neoplasia, RCI: Reid’s colposcopic index

### Table 4: Comparison of Papanicolaou smear with histopathological findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAP smear</th>
<th>Frequency (n)</th>
<th>Histopathology (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Chronic cervicitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NILM</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC-US</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSIL</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSIL</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


analysis). Table 4 represents comparison of PAP smear with histopathological findings; PAP smear showed a significant association with abnormal histopathological findings ($P = 0.028$; Fisher’s Exact test). PAP smear findings were compared with RCI score [Table 5], which was not statistically significant ($P = 0.751$; Fisher’s exact test).

### DISCUSSION

The present study compared the findings of cytology and colposcopy in comparison to histopathology in women with suspicious-looking cervix. Majority of the patients were in the 31–50-years age group, indicating that the middle-age group was more prone to cervical neoplasms. Supporting our study findings, Gupta et al.\[^{9}\] reported that majority of the abnormal cytology cases (40.37%) were in the age group of 30–39 years, followed by 35.96% in the age group of 20–29 years. The mean age and mean parity were 39.8 ± 7.9 years and 3.0 ± 1.2, respectively. These findings were in accordance with the studies conducted by Thulaseedharan et al.\[^{10}\] and Bhalerao et al.\[^{11}\] who reported a strong influence of multiparity on the risk for cervical lesions. Majority of the women in this study were of low-income status; however, they agreed to undergo all the required tests after description of the procedures. Various Indian studies have reported a higher incidence of cervical neoplasms among women from lower socioeconomic groups.\[^{9,11}\]

The sensitivity of PAP smear was 19.5% which is low when compared to the other studies wherein the sensitivity ranged from 27% to 50%. On the other hand, the sensitivity of colposcopy (90.2%) observed in this study was very high compared to that reported in established literature where it ranged from 44% to 89%.\[^{10,12,13}\] These contrasting findings could be due to the small sample size in their studies. However, a better specificity with PAP smear compared to colposcopy was noted in this study. On PAP smear, 82.7% of women were diagnosed as NILM. More than 70% of them had abnormal colposcopy or RCI >3, indicating that PAP smear has a poor pickup rate for low-grade lesions. Nine women with a histopathological report of CINI2/3 showed HSIL in the cytology report, indicating that PAP smear has better sensitivity for high-grade lesions. Two prospective trials conducted by Flannelly et al.\[^{14}\] and Shafi et al.\[^{15}\] reported that colposcopy is an effective and safer option for HSIL and LSIL lesions. In a recent study, PAP findings were unsatisfactory in 38% of cases.\[^{16}\] Unsatisfactory colposcopic or cytologic findings represent real obstacles against proper screening.\[^{17}\] Moreover, many physicians encounter cervical lesions that may or may not be associated with cytologic abnormalities.\[^{18}\] On the contrary, Vaghela et al. reported 4.8% unsatisfactory report rate with PAP smear which might have been due to proper training of personnel and the use of the proper technique.\[^{19}\] Dharaiya and Maitra\[^{20}\] found a significant association between cytology and RCI with respect to HSIL and LSIL ($P = 0.02$), which was insignificant in our study ($P = 0.751$). Padmini et al.\[^{21}\] reported LSIL (5%), and HSIL (3%) in women screened with the PAP smear test. High rates of HSIL (3.8%) and LSIL (8.6%) lesions were observed in a study by conducted Nayani and Hendre.\[^{22}\] The quality of the PAP smear test depends on a number of factors such as specimen collection techniques, staining process and fixation and requires an experienced cytopathologist. Dharaiya et al.\[^{20}\] discussed that the PAP smear quality can be improved by using the Ayre’s spatula, followed by endocervical cytobrush. Smears
Table 5: Comparison of Papanicolaou smear with Reid’s colposcopy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAP smear</th>
<th>Frequency (n)</th>
<th>Colposcopy (n)</th>
<th>Normal (0-2)</th>
<th>Abnormal (3-5)</th>
<th>Suspicious cervix (&gt;6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NILM</td>
<td>172</td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC-US</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSIL</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSIL</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASC-US: Atypical squamous cells-undetermined significance, HSIL: High-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion, LSIL: Low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion, NILM: Negative for intraepithelial lesion or malignancy, US: Unsatisfactory smear, PAP: Papanicolaou

contaminated with blood may result in more false positivity of squamous intraepithelial lesions. Therefore, the cytological evaluations in this study were performed, keeping in mind the points discussed by Dharaiya et al. If PAP smear had been the only diagnostic modality used in this study, the cases with preinvasive cervical lesions would be missed. This is in accordance with the study conducted by Mallur et al.

The cytological and colposcopic findings in this study were correlated individually with the histopathological findings, but we failed to correlate them with each other. Combining PAP smear (due to its very low sensitivity) with colposcopy as a complementary method could make the screening more effective. As women in developing and low-resource countries are referred for colposcopy based on visual screening methods with a high positivity rate, it is important to have a triaging laboratory test like colposcopy practised competently in public health care settings.

Conclusions

PAP smear cytology demonstrated very low sensitivity compared to colposcopy, especially for LSILs.
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